

CONTENTS

FOREWORD	1
URDU	2
GCE Advanced Subsidiary Level	2
Paper 8686/01 Speaking (Optional)	2
Paper 8686/02 Reading and Writing	2
Paper 8686/03 Essay	3

FOREWORD

This booklet contains reports written by Examiners on the work of candidates in certain papers. **Its contents are primarily for the information of the subject teachers concerned.**

URDU

GCE Advanced Subsidiary Level

Paper 8686/01
Speaking (Optional)

General comments

The overall level of performance was very high. Most candidates were very well prepared and the quality of examining was impressive. In all cases, appropriate informality was created in which candidates seemed to flourish. Fluency, good pronunciation, command of grammar (especially of inflexions and tenses), were all in evidence.

Teachers/Examiners, on the whole, examined well in these situations and assessed candidates performance accurately. It is important that candidates are encouraged to express their opinions and emotions and to respond adequately and appropriately to the question 'why?' without the necessity of being repeatedly prompted.

Administration

Centres are to be congratulated on getting the tapes and paperwork to CIE in good condition with all the relevant forms filled in correctly.

Overall

Finally, there were some very good examples of Teachers/Examiners using this component as an extension and a development (not a repetition) of the topics and items tested at this level, leading candidates to express opinions, etc. This clearly benefited candidates of all standards.

Paper 8686/02
Reading and Writing

General comments

On the whole this Paper was done well by the majority of candidates who appeared to have been well prepared for the examination and had a good grasp of the vocabulary required to tackle the Paper. The demands of the Paper were very similar to those of last year.

Comments on specific questions

Question 1

This required candidates to write their own sentences illustrating clearly the meanings of words taken from the first text. This was mostly well done with most candidates scoring 4 out of 5 marks.

Question 2

This required candidates to give the opposite of 5 given words. Most candidates scored 4 or more marks.

Question 3

The five questions in this section were based on the given text in passage A. Responses to these questions were mostly concise and expressed in candidates own words in accordance with the instructions in the rubric. Candidates who answered in their own words achieved better marks than those who did not.

Question 4

As in **Question 3** candidates were required to write responses to five questions based on passage B. Most candidates displayed an excellent comprehension of the text but some let themselves down by not following the rubrics. Most candidates understood the text and the questions, but the quality of their responses were very varied. Five marks were available for linguistic accuracy and most candidates scored 3 or more because a high proportion of them wrote excellent Urdu, however, those who used little of their own language and expression could not receive full marks for language.

Question 5

This last question required candidates to write a response to a question referring to both texts and to give their opinion on a question arising from the texts. The majority of candidates responded well to the task yet many tended to write responses that were well over 200 words. It is important for candidates to focus on the quality of their response and to keep to the word limit. Marks are awarded for candidates' ability to express their views and ideas in an interesting way and from a personal point of view.

Paper 8686/03

Essay

General comments

The standard of essays written by most of the candidates was good, and some were excellent. This year's Paper was considered to be no more or less difficult than last winter's Paper and the overall standard of performance was equally high.

Comments on the essays

There are six general topic areas set every year and for each topic area there is an essay title given underneath. Unfortunately, a handful of candidates did not understand the rubric and wrote compositions on the general topic headings rather than the specific essay titles and consequently could not achieve the highest marks.

The examination produced a wide range of performances from the very ordinary to the excellent. The standard of written Urdu is almost always adequate at this level. The weakest essays do contain spelling errors, a narrow vocabulary and weak syntax, but essays containing many serious language errors are rare. Most marks are lost in the layout and organisation of the essays.

Planning, structure and argument are vital components of essay writing, and although it is not necessary for candidates to write planning notes, many candidates do so, and those who did tended to produce better compositions. Most candidates managed to write within the prescribed limit of 250-400 words. It cannot be stressed too much that quantity does not equal quality and that long essays in excess of the word limit cannot gain further marks.

This year's most popular title by far was "*tandurusti hazar nemat hai*" – "*health is the greatest blessing*" which comprised about half the entries. This topic produced some interesting responses, but many were rather stereotyped responses to a familiar well known saying. A large proportion of the essays on this topic tended to concentrate on the '*if you aren't healthy, you are good for nothing*' aspect. There was much written about diet and exercise, a lot of which was repetitive and lacked structure.

The second most popular title was "*Mushtarika Khandani nizam ke bare men logon ka rijhan*" – "*The trend among people towards joint family systems*". While there were some very good and interesting compositions on this topic there were rather too many straightforward descriptive accounts of the system and how good it was. At this level there needs to be a more critical evaluation of the subject.

Probably the best written essays were on the topic of: "*Qanun ki nazar sab barabar hain*" – "*equal in the eyes of the Law*". This produced some excellent essays which introduced what the law is, discussed its 'ideal' position, some bemoaned a lack of law in Pakistan, and gave vivid and appropriate examples of their points, concluding with a summary paragraph giving their verdict. There were some weaker essays without much evidence of planning or structure.